# Graviweak Unification in the Visible and Invisible Universe and Inflation from the Higgs Field False Vacuum

C.R. Das<sup>1\*</sup> and L.V. Laperashvili <sup>2†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Theoretical Physics Division, Physical Research Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad - 380 009, India

 <sup>2</sup> The Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, National Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", Bolshaya Cheremushkinskaya, 25, 117218 Moscow, Russia

Received 24 February 2015

#### Abstract

In the present paper we develop the self-consistent Spin(4, 4)-invariant model of the unification of gravity with weak SU(2) interactions in the assumption of the existence of visible and invisible sectors of the Universe. It was shown that the consequences of the multiple point principle predicting two degenerate vacua in the Standard Model (SM) suggest a theory of Inflation, in which the inflaton field  $\sigma$  starts trapped in a cold coherent state in the "false vacuum" of the Universe at the value of the Higgs field's VEV  $v \sim 10^{18}$  GeV (in the visible world). Then the inflations of the two Higgs doublet fields, visible  $\phi$  and mirror  $\phi'$ , lead to the emergence of the SM vacua at the Electroweak scales with the Higgs boson VEVs  $v_1 \approx 246$  GeV and  $v'_1 = \zeta v_1$  (with  $\zeta \sim 100$ ) in the visible and invisible worlds, respectively.

Keywords: unification, gravity, mirror world, inflation, cosmological constant, dark energy PACS: 04.50.Kd, 98.80.Cq, 12.10.-g, 95.35.+d, 95.36.+x

# 1 Introduction

**E** Ref. [1] a model of unification of gravity with the weak SU(2) gauge and Higgs fields was constructed. We imagine that at the early stage of the evolution of the Universe the GUT-group was broken down to the direct product of gauge groups of internal symmetry and Spin(4,4)-group of the Graviweak unification.

<sup>\*</sup>crdas@prl.res.in

laper@itep.ru

In the assumption that there exist visible and invisible (hidden) sectors of the Universe, presented the hidden world as a Mirror World (MW) with a broken Mirror Parity (MP). In present paper we give arguments that MW is not identical to the visible Ordinary World (OW We started with an extended  $\mathbf{g} = \mathfrak{spin}(4, 4)_L$ -invariant Plebanski action in the visible Univerand with  $\mathbf{g} = \mathfrak{spin}(4,4)_R$ -invariant Plebanski action in the MW. Then we have shown that Graviweak symmetry breaking leads to the following sub-algebras:  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \mathfrak{su}(2)_L^{(grav)} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_L$ in the ordinary world, and  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}' = \mathfrak{su}(2)_R^{(grav)} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_R'$  – in the hidden world. These sub-algebra contain the self-dual left-handed gravity in the OW, and the anti-self-dual right-handed gravit in the MW. Finally, at low energies, we obtain a Standard Model (SM) group of symmetry and the Einstein-Gilbert's gravity. In this approach we have developed a model of Inflation, in whi the inflaton  $\sigma$ , being a singlet, decays into the two Higgs doublets of the SM:  $\sigma \to \phi^{\dagger} \phi$ , and the the interaction between the ordinary and mirror Higgs fields (induced by gravity) leads to the hybrid model of the Inflation.

In Section 2 we considered the Plebanski's theory of gravity, in which fundamental fields are 2-forms, containing tetrads, spin connections and auxiliary fields. Then we have used an extension of the Plebanski's formalism of the 4-dimensional gravitational theory, and in Section we constructed the action of the Graviweak unification model, described by the overall unification parameter  $g_{uni}$ . Section 4 is devoted to the Multiple Point Model (MPM), which allows the existence of several minima of the Higgs effective potential with the same energy density. The MPM assumes the existence of the SM itself up to the scale  $\sim 10^{19}$  GeV, and predicts that there exist two degenerate vacua into the SM: the first one - at the Electroweak scale (with the VEV  $v_1 \simeq 246$  GeV), and the second one – at the Planck scale (with the VEV  $v = v_2 \sim 10^{18}$  GeV). In Section 5 we consider the existence in the Nature of the Mirror World (MW) with a broken Mirror Parity (MP): the Higgs VEVs of the visible and invisible worlds are not equal,  $\langle \phi \rangle = \pi$  $\langle \phi' \rangle = v'$  and  $v \neq v'$ . The parameter characterizing the violation of the MP is  $\zeta = v'/v \gg 1$ . We have used the result  $\zeta \simeq 100$ . In Section 6 we suggest a hybrid model of Inflation provided with the visible Higgs field  $\phi$  and mirror Higgs boson  $\phi'$ , which interact during Inflation vis gravity. This interaction leads to the emergence of the SM vacua at the Electroweak scales with the Higgs boson VEVs  $v_1 \approx 246$  GeV and  $v'_1 = \zeta v_1$  (with  $\zeta \sim 100$ ) in the visible and invisible worlds, respectively, while the original "false vacuum" exists at the Planck scale and has VEV  $v = v_2 \sim 10^{18}$  GeV. Section 7 contains conclusions.

# 2 Plebanski's formulation of General Relativity

General Theory of Relativity (GTR) was formulated by Einstein as dynamics of the metrics  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . Later, Plebanski [3] and other authors (see for example [4, 5]) presented GTR in the self-dual

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In this paper the superscript 'prime' denotes the M- or hidden H-world.

approach, in which fundamental variables are 1-forms of connections  $A^{IJ}$  and tetrads  $e^{I}$ :

$$A^{IJ} = A^{IJ}_{\mu} dx^{\mu}, \qquad e^{I} = e^{I}_{\mu} dx^{\mu}.$$
(1)

Also 1-form  $A = \frac{1}{2}A^{IJ}\gamma_{IJ}$  is used, in which generators  $\gamma_{IJ}$  are products of generators of the Clifford algebra Cl(1,3):  $\gamma_{IJ} = \gamma_I\gamma_J$ . Indices I, J = 0, 1, 2, 3 belong to the space-time with Minkowski's metrics  $\eta^{IJ} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ , which is considered as a flat space, tangential to the curved space with the metrics  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . In this case connection belongs to the local Lorentz group SO(1,3), or to the spin group Spin(1,3). In general case of unifications of gravity with the SU(N) or SO(N) gauge and Higgs fields, the gauge algebra is  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{spin}(p,q)$ , and we have I, J = 1, 2..., p + q. In our model of unification of gravity with the weak SU(2) interactions we consider a group of symmetry with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{spin}(4, 4)$ . In this model indices I, J run over all  $8 \times 8$  values: I, J = 1, 2..., 7, 8.

For the purpose of construction of the action for any unification theory, the following 2-forms are also-considered:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B}^{IJ} &= e^{I} \wedge e^{J} = \frac{1}{2} e^{I}_{\mu} e^{J}_{\nu} dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu}, \\ \mathbf{F}^{IJ} &= \frac{1}{2} F^{IJ}_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu}, \end{split}$$

There  $F_{\mu\nu}^{IJ} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}^{IJ} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}^{IJ} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]^{IJ}$ , which determines the Riemann-Cartan curvature:  $B_{\mu\nu\nu} = e_{\kappa}^{I} e_{\lambda}^{J} F_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}$ . Also 2-forms of B and F are considered :

$$B = \frac{1}{2}B^{IJ}\gamma_{IJ}, \qquad F = \frac{1}{2}F^{IJ}\gamma_{IJ}, \qquad F = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A].$$
(2)

The well-known in literature Plebanski's BF-theory is submitted by the following gravitational section with nonzero cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ :

$$I_{(GR)} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int \epsilon^{IJKL} \left( B^{IJ} \wedge F^{KL} + \frac{\Lambda}{4} B^{IJ} \wedge B^{KL} \right), \qquad (3)$$

where  $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G_N$ ,  $G_N$  is the Newton's gravitational constant, and  $M_{Pl}^{red} = 1/\sqrt{8\pi G_N}$ . Considering the dual tensors:

$$F^*_{\mu
u} \equiv rac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}}\epsilon^{
ho\sigma}_{\mu
u}F_{
ho\sigma}, \quad A^{\star IJ} = rac{1}{2}\epsilon^{IJKL}A^{KL},$$

we can determine self-dual(+) and anti-self-dual(-) components of the tensor  $A^{IJ}$ :

$$A^{(\pm)I^{J}} = (\mathcal{P}^{\pm}A)^{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} (A^{IJ} \pm iA^{\star IJ}).$$
(4)

Two projectors on the spaces of the so-called self- and anti-self-dual tensors

$$\mathcal{P}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{KL}^{IJ} \pm \epsilon_{KL}^{IJ} \right)$$

carry out the following homomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{so}(1,3) = \mathfrak{su}(2)_R \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_L. \tag{5}$$

As a result of Eq. (5), non-zero components of connections are only  $A^{(\pm)i} = A^{(\pm)0i}$ . Instead of (anti-)self-duality, the terms of left-handed (+) and right-handed (-) components are used.

Plebanski [3] and other authors [4, 5] suggested to consider a gravitational action in the (visible) world as a left-handed  $\mathfrak{su}(2)_L^{(grav)}$ - invariant action, which contains self-dual fields  $F = F^{(+)i}$  and  $\Sigma = \Sigma^{(+)i}$  (i=1,2,3):

$$I_{(grav)}(\Sigma, A, \psi) = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int \left[ \Sigma^i \wedge F^i + \left( \Psi^{-1} \right)_{ij} \Sigma^i \wedge \Sigma^j \right].$$
(6)

Here  $\Sigma^i = 2B^{0i}$ , and  $\Psi_{ij}$  are auxiliary fields, defining a gauge, which provides equivalence of Eq. (6) to the Einstein-Gilbert gravitational action.

#### **3** Graviweak unification model

On a way of unification of the gravitational and weak interactions we considered an extended g = spin(4, 4)-invariant Plebanski's action:

$$I(A, B, \Phi) = \frac{1}{g_{uni}} \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left\langle BF + B\Phi B + \frac{1}{3}B\Phi\Phi\Phi B \right\rangle, \tag{7}$$

where  $\langle ... \rangle$  means a wedge product,  $g_{uni}$  is an unification parameter, and  $\Phi_{IJKL}$  are auxiliary fields.

Having considered the equations of motion, obtained by means of the action (7), and having chosen a possible class of solutions, we can present the following action for the Graviweak unification (see details in Refs. [1, 2]):

$$I(A,\Phi) = \frac{1}{g_{urk}} \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \langle \Phi F F \rangle, \qquad (8)$$

where

$$\langle \Phi FF \rangle = \frac{d^4x}{32} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Phi_{\mu\nu}{}^{\varphi\chi IJ}{}_{KL} F_{\varphi\chi IJ} F_{\rho\sigma}{}^{KL}, \tag{9}$$

and

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma ab}{}_{cd} = (e^f_{\mu})(e^g_{\nu})\epsilon_{fg}{}^{kl}(e^{\rho}_{k})(e^g_{l})\delta^{ab}_{cd}.$$
(10)

A spontaneous symmetry breaking of our new action that produces the dynamics of gravity, weak SU(2) gauge and Higgs fields, leads to the conservation of the following sub-algebra:

 $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{su}(2)_L^{(grav)} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_L.$ 

Considering indices  $a, b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  as corresponding to I, J = 1, 2, 3, 4, and indices m, n as corresponding to indices I, J = 5, 6, 7, 8, we can present a spontaneous violation of the Graviweak milication symmetry in terms of the 2-forms:

$$A = \frac{1}{2}\omega + \frac{1}{4}E + A_W,$$

where  $\omega = \omega^{ab} \gamma_{ab}$  is a gravitational spin-connection, which corresponds to the sub-algebra  $(2)_L^{(grav)}$ . The connection  $E = E^{am} \gamma_{am}$  corresponds to the non-diagonal components of the metrix  $A^{IJ}$ , described by the following way (see [2]):  $E = e\varphi = e_{\mu}^a \gamma_a \varphi^m \gamma_m dx^{\mu}$ . The connection  $A_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} A^{mn} \gamma_{mn}$  gives:  $A_W = \frac{1}{2} A^i_W \tau_i$ , which corresponds to the sub-algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(2)_L$  of the weak metric. In the present paper  $\sigma_i$  and  $\tau_i$  are the Pauli matrices with i = 1, 2, 3.

Assuming that we have only singlet field  $\varphi^m = (\varphi, \varphi^i = 0)$ , we can consider a symmetry breakdown of the Graviweak unification leading to the following OW-action [1]:

$$I_{(OW)}(e,\varphi,A,A_W) = \frac{3}{8g_{uni}} \int_{\mathfrak{M}} d^4 x |e| \left(\frac{1}{16} |\varphi|^2 R - \frac{3}{32} |\varphi|^4 + \frac{1}{16} R_{ab}{}^{cd} R^{ab}{}_{cd} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_a \varphi^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}^a \varphi - \frac{1}{4} F^i_{Wab} F^i_W{}^{ab}\right).$$
(11)

Eq. (11) we have the Riemann scalar curvature R;  $|\varphi|^2 = \varphi^{\dagger}\varphi$  is a squared Higgs field;  $P = d\varphi + [A_W, \varphi]$  is a covariant derivative of the Higgs field, and  $F_W = dA_W + [A_W, A_W]$  is a mature of the gauge field  $A_W$ . The third member of the action (11) is a topological term in Gauss-Bone theory of gravity (see for example [6]).

Lagrangian in the action (11) leads to the nonzero vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the field:  $v = \langle \varphi \rangle = \varphi_0$ , which corresponds to a local minimum of the effective Higgs potential  $= R_0/3$ , where  $R_0 > 0$  is a constant de Sitter space-time background curvature [2].

- According to (11), the Newton gravitational constant  $G_N$  is defined by the ratio

$$8\pi G_N = (M_{Pl}^{(red.)})^{-2} = \frac{64g_{uni}}{3v^2},$$
(12)

a bare cosmological constant is equal to

$$\Lambda_0 = \frac{3}{4}v^2,$$

and  $g_W^2 = 8g_{uni}/3$ .

The coupling constant  $g_W$  is a bare coupling constant of the weak interaction, which also **consider** with a value of the constant  $g_2 = g_W$  at the Planck scale. Considering the running  $(\mu)$ , where  $\alpha_2 = g_2^2/4\pi$ , we can carry out an extrapolation of this rate to the Planck scale, leads to the following estimation [7, 8]:  $\alpha_2(M_{Pl}) \sim 1/50$ , i.e.  $g_{uni} \sim 0.1$ . Using this value **the** parameter  $g_{uni}$ , it is impossible to obtain a correct value of the Newton constant  $G_N$  from [12), if we consider the well-known Higgs VEV at the Electroweak scale  $(v_1 \approx 246 \text{ GeV})$ .

# 4 Multiple Point Model

The radiative corrections to the effective Higgs potential, considered in Refs. [9, 10], bring to mergence of the second minimum of the effective Higgs potential at the Planck scale. It shown that in the 2-loop approximation of the effective Higgs potential, experimental values all running coupling constants in the SM predict an existence of the second minimum of the potential located near the Planck scale, at the value  $v_2 = \varphi_{min2} \sim M_{Pl}$ .

In general, a quantum field theory allows an existence of several minima of the effect potential, which is a function of a scalar field. If all vacua, corresponding to these minima are degenerate, having zero cosmological constants, then we can speak about the existence of multiple critical point (MCP) at the phase diagram of theory considered for the investigation (see Refs. [12,13]). In Ref. [12] Bennett and Nielsen suggested the Multiple Point Model (MPM of the Universe, which contains simply the SM itself up to the scale  $\sim 1019$  GeV. In Ref. [14 the MPM was applied (by the consideration of the two degenerate vacua in the SM) for the prediction of the top-quark and Higgs boson masses, which gave:

$$M_t = 173 \pm 5 \text{ GeV}, \qquad M_H = 135 \pm 9 \text{ GeV}.$$
 (13)

Later, the prediction for the mass of the Higgs boson was improved by the calculation of the two-loop radiative corrections to the effective Higgs potential [9, 10]. The predictions: 125 Ge  $\lesssim M_H \lesssim 143$  GeV in Ref. [9], and 129  $\pm 2$  GeV in Ref. [10] – provided the possibility of the theoretical explanation of the value  $M_H \approx 126$  GeV observed at the LHC. The authors of the recent paper [11] have shown that the most interesting aspect of the measured value of  $M_H$  its near-criticality. They have thoroughly studied the condition of near-criticality in terms the SM parameters at the high (Planck) scale. They extrapolated the SM parameters up large energies with full 3-loop NNLO RGE precision. All these results mean that the radiatic corrections to the Higgs effective potential lead to the value of the Higgs mass existing in the Nature.

Having substituted in Eq. (12) the values of  $g_{uni} \simeq 0.1$  and  $G_N = 1/8\pi (M_{Pl}^* e^d)^2$ , where  $M_{Pl}^{*ed} \approx 2.43 \cdot 10^{18}$  GeV, it is easy to obtain the VEV's value v, which in this case is located near the Planck scale:  $v = v_2 \approx 3.5 \cdot 10^{18}$  GeV. Such a result takes place, if the Universe at an earn stage stayed in the "false vacuum", in which the VEV of the Higgs field is huge:  $v = v_2 \sim 10^{-6}$  GeV. The exit from this state could be carried out only by means of the existence of the second Higgs field, say,  $\phi'$ . A similar model of the hybrid inflation was considered, for example, in Ref. [15]. In the present paper we assume that the second Higgs field, participating into the Inflation, arises from the interaction between visible and invisible sectors of the Universe.

#### 5 Mirror world with broken mirror parity

**The visible** (OW) and invisible (MW) (mirror) worlds. The used model was described in **The visible** (OW) and invisible (MW) (mirror) worlds. The used model was described in **The III**, 17] (see also [18]). The group of symmetry  $G_{SM}$  of the Standard Model was enlarged **G**<sub>SM</sub> ×  $G'_{SM'}$ , where  $G_{SM}$  stands for the observable SM, while  $G'_{SM'}$  is its mirror gauge **G**<sub>SM</sub> +  $G'_{SM'}$ , where  $G_{SM}$  stands for the observable SM, while  $G'_{SM'}$  is its mirror gauge **G**<sub>SM</sub> +  $G'_{SM'}$ .

If the ordinary and mirror worlds are identical, then O- and M-particles should have the same cosmological densities. But this is immediately in conflict with recent astrophysical cosmological densities. But this is immediately in conflict with recent astrophysical cosmological observations (see for example [19, 20]) have consider the existence of the Dark Matter (DM), which constitutes about 25% of the total energy cosmological the existence of the Dark Matter (DM), which constitutes about 25% of the total energy cosmological the existence of the Dark Matter (DM), which constitutes about 25% of the total energy cosmological the existence of the Dark Matter (DM), which constitutes about 25% of the total energy cosmological the existence of the Dark Matter (DM), which constitutes about 25% of the total energy cosmological the existence of the Dark Matter (DM), which constitutes about 25% of the total energy cosmological the existence of the Dark Matter (DM), which constitutes about 25% of the total energy cosmological the existence of the Dark Matter (DM), which constitutes about 25% of the total energy cosmological the existence of the Dark Matter (DM), which constitutes about 25% of the Universe. This is five times larger than all the visible matter,  $\Omega_{DM} \simeq 5:1$ .

$$\langle \phi \rangle = v, \quad \langle \phi' \rangle = v', \quad \text{and} \quad v \neq v'.$$

The parameter characterizing the violation of the MP is  $\zeta = v'/v \gg 1$ . Astrophysical estimates  $\zeta > 30$ ,  $\zeta \sim 100$  (see references in [16, 18]).

The action  $I_{(MW)}$  in the mirror world is represented by the same integral (11), in which we to make the replacement of all OW-fields by their mirror counterparts:  $e, \phi, A, A_W, R \rightarrow A', A'_W, R'$ . Then  $G'_N = \zeta G_N, \Lambda'_0 = \zeta^2 \Lambda_0, M'_{Pl}^{red.} = \zeta M_{Pl}^{red.}$ . However,  $g'_W = g_W$ : it is supposed that at an early stage of the evolution of the Universe, when the GUT takes place, marrier parity is unbroken, what gives  $g'_{uni} = g_{uni}$ .

## **6** Inflation model

well-known that the hidden (invisible) sector of the Universe interacts with the ordinary world only via gravity, or another very weak interaction (see for example [21–23]). In perticular, the authors of Ref. [24] assumed, that along with gravitational interaction there also the interaction between the initial Higgs fields of both OW- and MW-worlds:

$$V_{int} = \alpha(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\varphi'^{\dagger}\varphi'), \qquad (14)$$

which begin to interact during the Inflation via gravitational interactions. The existence of the model of the Higgs field  $\varphi'$  could be the cause of the hybrid inflation (compare with Ref. [15]), bringing the Universe out of the "false vacuum" with the VEV  $v_2 \sim 10^{18}$  GeV. This circumstance provided the subsequent transition to the vacuum with the Higgs VEV  $v_1$  existing at the Electroweak state. Here  $v_1 \approx 246$  GeV is a vacuum, in which we live at the present time.

Indeed, assuming the de Sitter space-time, which is a maximally symmetric Lorentzian manifold with a constant and positive background scalar curvature  $R_0$ , we obtain a nontrivial vacuum solution corresponding to the action (11). This de Sitter space-time has a non-vanishing Higgs vacuum expectation value (VEV):  $\varphi_0 = v$ , because the standard Higgs potential in Eq. (1) has an extremum at  $v^2 = R_0/3$ . Then the Higgs field is  $\varphi = v + \sigma$ , where the scalar field r is an inflaton. Considering the expansion of the Lagrangian (11) around the background value  $R \simeq R_0$  in powers of the small value  $\sigma/v$ , and leaving only the first-power terms, we can present the gravitational part of the action (11) as:

$$I_{(grav)} \simeq -\int_{\mathfrak{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ M_{Pl}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} R (1 + \sigma/v)^2 - \Lambda_0 (1 + \sigma/v)^4 \right) + \dots \right].$$
(15)

We assume that during Inflation the inflaton  $\sigma$  decays into the two SM Higgs doublets  $\phi$ , and we obtain  $\sigma = |\phi|^2$ . Then the further expansion gives:

$$I_{(grav)} \simeq -\int_{\mathfrak{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ M_{Pl}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} R_0 \left( 1 + 2|\phi|^2 / v + |\phi|^4 / v^2 \right) - \Lambda_0 \left( 1 + 4|\phi|^2 / v + 6|\phi|^4 / v^2 + \dots \right) \right) + \dots \right],$$
(16)

and near the local "false vacuum" we have:

$$I_{(grav)} \simeq -\int_{\mathfrak{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ M_{Pl}^2 \left( \Lambda_0 - 3|\phi|^4 \right) \right].$$
<sup>(17)</sup>

Finally, it is necessary to end the inflation and to consider a transition to a radiation dominate era. The mirror Higgs field  $\phi'$  gives a point of the end of Inflation, say, at the value  $\phi'_F$ . The a sum of all the Higgs potentials at the end of inflation is given by the following expression:

$$V_{Higgs} + V_{int} + V(\varphi_F) = -3(\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^2 + \alpha(\phi_F)|\phi'_F|^2 \phi^{\dagger}\phi + V(\phi'_F)$$
  
= -3(\phi^{\dagger}\phi - v\_1^2)^2 + 3v\_1^4 + V(\phi'\_F), (18)

where

$$v_1^2 = \frac{\alpha(\phi_F)}{6} |\phi'_F|^2 \approx (246 \text{ GeV})^2$$
 (19)

is the squared VEV of the Higgs field in the first (Electroweak) vacuum of the Universe, in which we live.

A similar inflation is carried out in the Mirror World of the Universe. Details of the hybrid inflation are presented in Ref. [15] and in the references cited there. The Graviweak unfication leads to the modern theory of Inflation, which in principle can explain all astrophysical experimental data predicting a correct value of the tensor-to-scalar ratio r (see for example [25]

## 7 Conclusions

In the present paper we constructed a model of unification of gravity with the weak SU(2) gauge and Higgs fields. Imagining that at the early stage of the evolution the Universe was described by a GUT-group, we assumed that this Grand Unification group of symmetry was quickly broken down to the direct product of the gauge groups of internal symmetry and Spin(4,4)-group of the Graviweak unification.

Also we assumed the existence of visible and invisible (hidden) sectors of the Universe. We have given arguments that modern astrophysical and cosmological measurements lead to a odel of the Mirror World with a broken Mirror Parity (MP), in which the Higgs VEVs of the sible and invisible worlds are not equal:  $\langle \phi \rangle = v$ ,  $\langle \phi' \rangle = v'$  and  $v \neq v'$ . We estimated a parameter characterizing the violation of the MP:  $\zeta = v'/v \gg 1$ . We have used the result:  $\sim 100$  obtained by Z. Berezhiani and his collaborators. In this model, we showed that the action for gravitational and SU(2) Yang-Mills and Higgs fields, constructed in the ordinary rdd (OW), has a modified duplication for the hidden (mirror) sector of the Universe (MW).

Considering the Graviweak symmetry breaking, we have obtained the following subbras:  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{su}(2)_L^{(grav)} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_L$  – in the ordinary world, and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}' = \mathfrak{su}(2)_R'^{(grav)} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_R'$  – the hidden world. These sub-algebras contain the self-dual left-handed gravity in the OW, the anti-self-dual right-handed gravity in the MW. We assumed, that finally at low energies, have a Standard Model and the Einstein-Gilbert's gravity.

We reviewed the Multiple Point Model (MPM) by D.L. Bennett and H.B.Nielsen, who resumed the existence of several minima of the Higgs effective potential with the same energy sity (degenerate vacua of the SM). In the assumption of zero cosmological constants, MPM postulates that all the vacua, which might exist in the Nature (as minima of the effective ential), should have zero, or approximately zero, cosmological constant. The prediction that here exist two vacua into the SM: the first one – at the Electroweak scale ( $v_1 \simeq 246$  GeV), of the second one – at the Planck scale ( $v_2 \sim 10^{18}$  GeV), was confirmed by calculations in 2-loop approximation of the Higgs effective potential. The prediction of the top-quark and masses was given in the assumption that there exist two vacua into the SM.

In the above-mentioned theory we have developed a model of Inflation. According to this model, a singlet field  $\sigma$ , being an inflaton, starts trapped from the "false vacuum" of the **Enverse** at the value of the Higgs field's VEV  $v = v_2 \sim 10^{18}$  GeV. Then during the Inflation  $\sigma$ decays into the two Higgs doublets of the SM:  $\sigma \to \phi^{\dagger}\phi$ . The interaction between the ordinary and mirror Higgs fields  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$ , induced by gravity, generates a hybrid model of the Inflation the Universe. Such an interaction leads to the emergence of the SM vacua at the Electroweak scales: with the Higgs boson VEVs  $v_1 \approx 246$  GeV – in the OW, and  $v'_1 = \zeta v_1$  – in the MW.

## Acknowledgments

L.V. Laperashvili greatly thanks the Niels Bohr Institute (Copenhagen, Denmark) and Pro-H.B. Nielsen for hospitality, collaboration and financial support. L.V.L. also deeply thanks Department of Physics and University of Helsinki for hospitality and financial support, and Pro-M. Chaichian and Dr. A. Tureanu for fruitful discussions and advises.

C.R. Das sincerely thanks Physical Research Laboratory and Prof. Utpal Sarkar (Dese for Visiting Scientist position. CRD thanks Ananta P. Mishra for useful discussions.

#### References

- C.R. Das, L.V. Laperashvili and A. Tureanu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A28, 1350085 (2012)
   [arXiv: 1304.3069].
- [2] A. Garrett Lisi, L. Smolin and S. Speziale, J. Phys. A43, 445401 (2010) [arXiv:1004.4866
- [3] J.F. Plebanski, J. Math. Phys. 18, 2511 (1977).
- [4] A. Ashtekar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2244 (1986).
- [5] R. Capovilla, T. Jacobson, J. Dell and L.J. Mason, Class. Quant. Grav. 8, 41 (1991).
- [6] E.W. Mielke, Phys. Rev. D77, 084020 (2008) [arXiv:0707.3466].
- [7] D.L. Bennett, L.V. Laperashvili and H.B. Nielsen, Relation between finestructure constant at the Planck scale from multiple point principle, in: Proceedings to the 9th Workshop 'What Comes Beyond the Standard Models?' Bled, Slovenia, July 16-27, 2006 (DMF Zaloznistvo, Ljubljana, 2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0612250].
- [8] D.L. Bennett, L.V. Laperashvili and H.B. Nielsen, Finestructure constants at the Plans scale from multiple point principle, in: Proceedings to the 10th Workshop on 'What Com-Beyond the Standard Models?' Bled, Slovenia, July 17-27, 2007 (DMFA, Zaloznistur Ljubljana, 2007) [arXiv:0711.4681].
- [9] C.D. Froggatt, L.V. Laperashvili and H.B. Nielsen, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 69, 67 (2006) [Yes Fiz. 69, 3 (2006)].
- [10] G. Degrassi, S. Di Vita, J. Elias-Miro, J.R. Espinosa, G.F. Giudice, G. Isidori and A. Stmia, JHEP 1208, 098 (2012) [arXiv:1205.6497].
- [11] D. Buttazzo, G. Degrassi, P.P. Giardino, G.F. Giudice, F. Salab, A. Salvio, A. Struma JHEP 1312, 089 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3536].
- [12] D.L. Bennett and H.B. Nielsen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A9, 5155 (1994).

- 13 L.V. Laperashvili, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 57, 471 (1994) [Yad. Fiz. 57, 501 (1994)].
- 4 C.D. Froggatt and H.B. Nielsen, Phys. Lett. B368, 96 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9511371].
- **15** I. Masina and A. Notari, JCAP **1211**, 031 (2012) [arXiv:1204.4155].
- Z. Berezhiani, Through the looking-glass: Alice's adventures in mirror world, in: Ian Kogan Memorial Collection "From Fields to Strings: Circumnavigating Theoretical Physics", Eds. M. Shifman et al., World Scientific, Singapore, Vol. 3, pp. 2147-2195, 2005 [arXiv:hep-ph/0508233].
- **R.** Foot, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A29, 1430013 (2014) [arXiv:1401.3965].
- [18] D.L. Bennett, L.V. Laperashvili, H.B. Nielsen and A. Tureanu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A28, 1350035 (2013) [arXiv:1206.3497].
- [19] A. Riess et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. 183, 109 (2009) [arXiv:0905.0697].
- [20] W.L. Freedman et al., Astrophys. J. 704, 1036 (2009) [arXiv:0907.4524].
- [21] I.Yu. Kobzarev, L.B. Okun and I.Ya. Pomeranchuk, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 3, 837 (1966) [Yad. Fiz. 3, 1154 (1966)].
- 22 E.W. Kolb, D. Seckel and M.S. Turner, Nature 314, 415 (1985).
- [23] S.I. Blinnikov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 73, 593 (2010) [arXiv:0904.3609].
- R. Foot, A. Kobakhidze and R.R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D84, 09503 (2011) [arXiv:1109.0919].
- [25] P.A.R. Ade et al. [BICEP2 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 241101 (2014) [arXiv:1403.3985].